

BSC Barcelona Supercomputing Center Centro Nacional de Supercomputación



AXA

# Climate extremes in reanalysis and observational products

Markus Donat (Barcelona Supercomputing Center), with input from Robert Dunn, Lisa Alexander, Alvise Aranyossy, and many others

ECMWF Annual Seminar 2023, Reading

## **Climate extremes in reanalysis and observational products**

- 1. Intro: Climate extremes and observations-based data
- 2. Temperature Extremes
- 3. (Heavy) precipitation Extremes
- 4. Drought
- 5. Storms
- 6. Challenges



#### **Climate Extremes**



#### **Measures of Climate Extremes**



### **ETCCDI** indices to measure temperature and precipitation extremes

WMO-ETCCDI recommended 27 simple climate indices based on temperature and precipitation data, e.g.:

	Index	Name	Definition					
temperature	TXx	max Tmax	Warmest daily maximum temperature					
	TNn	min Tmin	Coldest daily minimum temperature					
	TX10p	Cool days	Share of days when Tmax < 10th percentile					
	TN10p	Cool nights	Share of days when Tmin < 10th percentile					
	ТХ90р	Warm days	Share of days when Tmax > 90th percentile					
	TN90p	Warm nights	Share of days when Tmin > 90th percentile					
	WSDI	Warm spell duration indicator	Annual number of days with at least 6 consecutive days when Tmax > 90th percentile					
precipitation	Rx1day	Max 1-day precipitation	Annual maximum 1-day precipitation					
	R95p	Annual contribution from very wet days	Annual sum of daily precipitation > 95th percentile					
	R10mm	Heavy precipitation days	Annual number of days when precipitation >= 10 mm					



### **Monitoring/observing changes in climate extremes**



IPCC AR6 (2023) Chapter 11, Figs. 11.9, 11.17

#### **Monitoring changes in climate extremes**

#### **Observed anomaly in 2022**



"State of the Climate in 2022" report (BAMS, 2023)

#### **Different generations of global atmospheric reanalsyes**



# **Temperature Extremes**



#### **Extremes across different datasets**



Dunn et al 2022 Front. Clim.; https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2022.989505

#### **Discontinuities hamper analyses of long-term changes or anomalies**

Example: temperature discontinuity NCEP2





Dunn et al 2022 Front. Clim.; <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2022.989505</u>

#### **Temporal Changes across datasets**

#### TXx decadal trend 1979-2010



Donat *et al* 2014, J. Climate, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00405.1.

#### **Temporal Changes across datasets**





common years 1979-2010





Donat *et al* 2014, J. Climate, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00405.1.

#### **Extremes across different datasets**

#### Taylor Diagram:

x and y axes: standard deviation of the time series for each dataset; polar axis: correlation with the reference dataset (HadEX3); semi-circles centered on HadEX3: values of the root-mean-square difference.

fainter symbols: reanalyses using complete global land coverage, darker symbols: spatio-temporal coverage matched to HadEX3.



Dunn et al 2022 Front. Clim.; https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2022.989505

### Temporal correlation of extremes with observations (HadEX3), e.g. TXn



Dunn *et al* 2022 Front. Clim.; https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2022.989505

# **Precipitation Extremes**



#### **Extremes Climatology across Observations-Based Datasets**



Intercomparing precipitation indices across a collection of (daily) precipitation products in the FROGS database (Roca *et al* 2019):

- gridded in situ data
- Satellite estimates with correction to *in situ*
- Satellite estimates w/o correction
- reanalysis

Alexander *et al* 2020 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **15** 055002 **DOI** 10.1088/1748-9326/ab79e2

#### **Global land (50°S–50°N) average timeseries**



	Dataset name	SDII	PRCPT	Rx1day	Rx5day	R95p	R99p	R10m m	R20m m	CDD	CWD
in situ-based	REGEN_ALL_v2019	7	11	9	13	13	12	12	13	6	14
	REGEN_LONG_v2019	6	10	10	14	15	16	8	9	4	15
	GPCC_FDD_v1.0	8	13	11	15	16	15	15	15	1	13
	GPCC_FDD_2018	22	15	20	20	20	20	11	21	7	1
	CPC_v1.0	12	3	14	9	8	10	4	8	11	2
	GPCP_CDR_v1.3_un	20	14	7	11	6	2	20	19	21	4
	CMORPH_v1.0_CRT	13	6	15	10	9	9	5	10	3	7
in sit	CHIRPS_v2.0	9	7	4	3	1	1	9	5	8	10
on to	3B42_v7.0	18	12	16	16	17	14	14	17	15	9
rrecti	3B42RT_v7.0	19	18	18	18	19	17	18	20	18	12
satellite with co	GSMAP-gauges- RNLv6.0	5	2	8	8	7	8	1	4	12	11
	GSMAP-gauges-NRT- v6.0	17	8	17	17	12	13	6	14	10	6
	PERSIANN_v1_r1	4	17	3	5	10	7	19	7	19	16
cted	CMORPH_v1.0_RAW	14	5	13	7	5	6	7	11	5	8
corre	CHIRP_V2	1	4	1	1	2	3	3	3	2	19
ite un	3B42_IR_v7.0	21	9	19	19	18	18	10	16	22	3
Satell	3B42RT_UNCAL_v7.0	11	1	12	6	3	4	2	6	16	5
	ERAi	3	19	6	4	11	19	17	1	13	20
S	JRA-55	10	20	5	12	14	11	22	12	17	18
reanalys	MERRA1	2	16	2	2	4	5	13	2	20	22
	MERRA2	16	22	22	22	22	22	16	22	14	21
	CFSR	15	21	21	21	21	21	21	18	9	17
1 Dries	2 3 4 5 6	7 8	39	10 11	12 13	3 14	15 16	17 1	8 19	20 21	22 ettest

Alexander *et al* 2020 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **15** 055002 **DOI** 10.1088/1748-9326/ab79e2

## Large uncertainties in data sparse regions irrespective of product type



Frequency-based indices are more sensitive to product than intensity-based indices

Barcelona Supercomputing Center Centro Nacional de Supercomputación

Reanalyses generally have largest inter-product spread

CoV (%) calculated over the 2001–2013 climatologies from the different datasets for SDII, CDD and R10mm across precipitation products arranged by product type: *in situ*, satellite corrected, satellite uncorrected and reanalyses. The number of products considered within each cluster is indicated.



precipitation indices

#### **Precipitation Extremes**





Dunn et al 2022 Front. Clim.; https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2022.989505

#### **Temporal Changes across datasets**

#### Rx5day decadal trend 1979-2008



Donat et al 2014, J. Climate, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00405.1.

#### **Temporal Changes across datasets**



HadEX2 GHCNDEX HadGHCN NCEP1 ERA40 (\*) NCEP2 ERA-Int ERA-Int JRA25



common years 1979-2010

Correlations lower than for temperature extremes

Clustering of reanalysis 'families' (ERA\*, NCEP\*)





Donat *et al* 2014, J. Climate, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00405.1.

### **Precipitation Extremes**

#### Taylor Diagram:

x and y axes: standard deviation of the time series for each dataset; polar axis: correlation with the reference dataset (HadEX3); semi-circles centered on HadEX3: values of the root-mean-square difference.

fainter symbols: reanalyses using complete global land coverage, darker symbols: spatio-temporal coverage matched to HadEX3.



Dunn et al 2022 Front. Clim.; <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2022.989505</u>

#### Temporal correlation of extremes with observations (HadEX3), e.g. Rx1day



Dunn *et al* 2022 Front. Clim.; <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2022.989505</u>

#### **Summary for 29 extremes indices**



Dunn *et al* 2022 Front. Clim.; https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2022.9 89505

# Drought



#### **Drought-related variables**



**Atmospheric Evaporative Demand** 

Evaporative Demand (PET) calculated following the FAO-56 Penman-Monteith approximation,

based on daily data of 2-m maximum and minimum air temperature, downward surface solar radiation, 10-m wind speed and 2-m dewpoint temperature.

## **Correlation of SPEI (CRU – ERA5)**

**Standardised Precipitation-Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI)** 

3-Month SPEI

12-Month SPEI





#### **Spatial fields of SPEI3 for specific dates**



ERA5



Barcelona Supercomputing Center Centro Nacional de Supercomputación

#### Trends



SPEI z-unit/decade<sup>-1</sup>



#### Time series of global area in drought conditions



Pearson's r correlations: 3-months: *Mild*: 0.48, *Moderate*: 0.52, *Extreme*: 0.56 12-months: *Mild*: 0.52, *Moderate*: 0.60, *Extreme*: 0.67



## **Storms**



## **Tropical Cyclones Climatology**



Most of the reanalyses reproduce a reasonable global spatial distribution of observed TCs and temporal interannual variation of total TC frequency

Of the six reanalysis data sets, JRA-55 appears to be the best in terms of

- highest skill for spatial and temporal distribution of TC frequency of occurrence,
- highest TC hitting rate, lower false alarm rate, reasonable TC structure in terms of the relationship between maximum surface wind speed and sea level pressure,
- higher correlation coefficients for interannual variations of TC frequency.

These results also suggest that the finest-resolution reanalysis (MERRA) are not always the best in terms of TC climatology.

Murakami 2014 GRL https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL059519

## **Tropical Cyclones Climatology**



- nearly every cyclone present in IBTrACS over the period 1979–2012 can be found in all six reanalyses
- TC intensities are significantly underrepresented in the reanalyses compared to the observations
- largest uncertainties in TC identification occur for the weaker storms (exacerbated by uncertainties in the observations for weak storms and lack of consistency in operational procedures)
- definite improvements in how well TCs are represented in more recent, higher-resolution reanalyses (e.g. MERRA-2 is comparable with the NCEP-CFSR and JRA-55 reanalyses)

Hodges et al 2017 J of Climate <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0557.1</u>

#### **Extra-Tropical Cyclones in long-term reanalyses**

**Track densities** 



#### **Regional changes in extreme cyclones (<970hPa)**



Befort et al 2016 Atm Science Letters, https://doi.org/10.1002/asl.694

# **Processes driving or amplifying extremes**



#### **Relationship between moisture availability and hot extremes**

SPI3 July - TX90P July



SPI3 July - TX90P August

ERA5 shows stronger relationship between moisture availability (measured as e.g. SPI or SPEI) and the number of warm days in summer compared to observations in large parts of Europe





CopERnIcus climate change Service Evolution

Courtesy Alvise Aranyossy, BSC

# **Summary and concluding remarks**

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Summary

There is often a large spread across different reanalyses and observational products with regards to measuring different aspects of extremes, and their temporal variations

- Reasonable agreement for temperature extremes, and reasonably robust assessment of long-term changes in well-observed regions (caution: inhomogeneities / data discontinuities)
- Large inter-product spread for precipitation extremes (in particular frequency measures), and regionally different long-term trends
- Regionally inconsistent drought changes between reanalysis and gridded observation
- Accurate representation of TCs and their tracks since 1979, but intensities too low
- Shortcomings and biases with process-representation in particular at small/local scales

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Challenges

Reanalysis is only as good as the model and observations used to generate it!

- Disagreement in regional trends / long-term context
  - Large spread/uncertainty across different reanalysis products
  - Reanalyses probably not ready to look at long-term trends in precipitation extremes on climate timescales especially in regions where we have no (other, ground) data.
  - efforts needed on "ground truthing" reanalyses: has to include long-term, homogenous ground stations; need to focus on data sparse areas

#### - Temporal inhomogeneities

- difficulties in obtaining clear information as to the inhomogeneities in any of the reanalyses: when do satellites or networks start to (or stop) being assimilated?

#### - Process representation relevant to extremes

- E.g. resolving processes at higher resolution (storms, convection, etc.)
- Represent and assimilate relevant earth system components (e.g. land surface)
- Useability
  - Pre-calculated extremes products would help broader pick-up by researchers with limited computational/data facilities

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

Barcelona Supercomputing Center Centro Nacional de Supercomputación

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_3.jpeg)

Thank you.

markus.donat@bsc.es

## **Pattern correlations**

2010

2010

2010

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

<sup>-</sup>JRA25