

ETH Zürich, Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science KIT, Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research, Department Troposphere Research

Verifying ECMWF's extended-range (re)forecasts: from large-scale weather to local extremes

Dominik Büeler, ⊚ dominik.bueeler@env.ethz.ch, y @dombueeler UEF 2023, ECMWF, Reading, 5 – 8 June 2023

In collaboration with: Remo Beerli, Daniela Domeisen, Laura Ferranti, Christian Grams, Adel Imamovic, Linus Magnusson, Lionel Moret, Marisol Osman, Maria Pyrina, Alexander Scherrmann, Christoph Spirig, Michael Sprenger, Julian Quinting, Gabriel Vollenweider, Heini Wernli, and others

European heatwave, 1 July 2015, EOSDIS NASA

Outline

- **Biases** in sub-seasonal (re)forecasts
- Medium-range / sub-seasonal (re)forecast skill evaluation
- Learnings and challenges from our work with ECMWF's reforecasts and what the update to cycles 48r1 and 49r1 might bring



Sub-seasonal (re)forecast biases in Northern Hemisphere extratropical Cyclone activity (Büeler, Sprenger, and Wernli, under review in QJRMS)

Cyclone frequency biases in winter (DJF) Cyclone frequency biases in summer (JJA)



Largest in summer, smallest in winter \rightarrow role for predictability of heat and precipitation in summer?

Patterns appear at medium-range, but magnitudes saturate at sub-seasonal lead times \rightarrow understanding model drift also requires identifying bias sources at early lead times

Further findings (not shown here): cyclones are too **deep** during most seasons

Year-round sub-seasonal (re)forecast skill for Atlantic-European weather

regimes (Büeler, Ferranti, Magnusson, Quinting and Grams, 2021, QJRMS; Osman et al., under review in QJRMS; Grams et al., 2017, NATCLIM)



Skill horizon longest in winter, shortest in summer

Strong differences in skill for

individual regimes \rightarrow skill horizon longest for "ZO" and "GL", shortest for "no regime" and "EuBL"

Despite better skill for regimes than "no regime", **useful average regime skill** still limited to medium-range → crucial to extract / better understand "windows of opportunity" for useful sub-seasonal skill (e.g., stratosphere, MJO; cf. Büeler et al., 2021, QJRMS) Sub-seasonal (re)forecast skill for 2m-temperature in Europe following extreme stratospheric polar vortex states (Büeler, Beerli, Wernli, and Grams, 2020, QJRMS)

Country-aggregated month-ahead forecast skill for 2m-temperature terciles following strong and weak stratospheric polar vortex (SPV) states during winter (DJF)



Very strong SPV | Strong SPV | All forecasts | Weak SPV | Very weak SPV



Sub-seasonal (re)forecast skill for 2m-temperature in Europe following extreme stratospheric polar vortex states (Büeler, Beerli, Wernli, and Grams, 2020, QJRMS)



Strong SPV states:

enhanced skill for most of Europe except for some Scandinavian countries

Very strong SPV | Strong SPV | All forecasts | Weak SPV | Very weak SPV



Sub-seasonal (re)forecast skill for 2m-temperature in Europe following extreme stratospheric polar vortex states (Büeler, Beerli, Wernli, and Grams, 2020, QJRMS)



Strong SPV states:

enhanced skill for most of Europe except for some Scandinavian countries

Weak SPV states:

enhanced skill for Scandinavian countries but reduced skill for many Central/Southern European and Balkan countries → problems in predicting varying extent of cold air masses into Central/Southern Europe



How well would extreme Mediterranean cyclones in history have been predicted with today's ECMWF forecast system? - Algiers flooding 2001 case study (Gabriel Vollenweider, 2023, MSc thesis ETH Zürich)



Ensemble hindcasts (EH; i.e., based on more recent model versions) outperform ensemble (EF) and deterministic forecast (FC) operational back then \rightarrow improved ensemble mean and spread, extended skill horizon

Improvements less obvious for other cases → model improvements translate very differently into predictability gains for individual extreme events

Thesis was insightful, but reached limits of what is possible with data availability in ECMWF's public reforecast archive



Ongoing work: how to define climatological reforecast distribution to identify extreme temperature in individual ensemble members

(new project on sub-seasonal heatwave/drought prediction with M. Pyrina and D. Domeisen in collaboration with MeteoSwiss)

30d running clim. T2M reforecast distribution based on individual ensemble members

(20 years x 9 initializations x 11 members = 1980 fields)

30d running clim. T2M reforecast distribution **based on ensemble means**

(20 years x 9 initializations x 1 ensemble mean = 180 fields)



Climatological forecast distribution becomes narrower with lead time when defined based on ensemble means → effect on identification of heatwave events (i.e., T2M above certain percentile)

Which way to go? How does ECMWF do this for EFI?



Ongoing work: how to define climatological reforecast distribution to identify extreme temperature in individual ensemble members

(new project on sub-seasonal heatwave/drought prediction with M. Pyrina and D. Domeisen in collaboration with MeteoSwiss)



Learnings and challenges from our work with ECMWF's reforecasts – and what the update to cycles 48r1 and 49r1 might bring



Great and easily accessible treasure that should be used!



Transferability of insight gained **from reduced reforecast ensemble (11 members) to** operational ensemble (51, soon 101, members)?



Irregular initialization frequency makes things more **cumbersome**, particularly for extreme event studies and model intercomparison \rightarrow daily (?) initialization frequency will help



Too small sample size for flow-dependent (re)forecast verification (e.g., stratification for regimes and MJO states) \rightarrow daily (?) initialization frequency will help, but only slightly \rightarrow more tailored simulations over longer periods required for verification studies?



Sensitivity of verification to **mixing of model versions**?



Understanding sub-seasonal model drift also requires identifying/understanding bias sources at early lead times \rightarrow parallel medium-range and extended-range reforecasts in **combination** will provide interesting new research dataset (\rightarrow e.g., role of spatial resolution for bias evolution at early lead times?)



Different ways of calculating (re)forecast climatologies (and distributions) to correct for biases \rightarrow should ECMWF provide official guidelines or even datasets?

References

- Büeler, D., R. Beerli, H. Wernli, and C. M. Grams, 2020: Stratospheric influence on ECMWF sub-seasonal forecast skill for energy-industry-relevant surface weather in European countries. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 146, 3675–3694, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3866</u>
- Büeler, D., L. Ferranti, L. Magnusson, J. F. Quinting, and C. M. Grams, 2021: Year-round sub-seasonal forecast skill for Atlantic-European weather regimes. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 147, 4283–4309, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.4178</u>
- Büeler, D., M. Sprenger, and H. Wernli: Northern Hemisphere extratropical cyclone biases in ECMWF sub-seasonal forecasts. *Under review in Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*
- Grams, C., R. Beerli, S. Pfenninger, I. Staffell, and H. Wernli, 2017: Balancing Europe's wind-power output through spatial deployment informed by weather regimes. *Nature Clim. Change*, 557–562, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3338</u>
- Osman, M., D. Büeler, C. M. Grams, and R. Beerli: Multi-model sub-seasonal prediction of year-round Atlantic-European weather regimes. *Under review in Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*
- Vollenweider, G., 2023: Predictability of extreme Mediterranean cyclones in past and current ECMWF models. *MSc Thesis, ETH Zurich, Department of Environmental Systems Science*, 115 pp., <u>https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000608732</u>

