5TH WORKSHOP ON WAVES AND WAVE-COUPLED PROCESSES 10 April 2024 — 12 April 2024, ECMWF

Do swells contribute to surface mixing? Results from machine learning models for SST diurnal warming and cool skin



Haoyu Jiang, Chaoyi Wu College of Marine Science and Technology, China University of Geosciences Haoyujiang@cug.edu.cn

Introduction Nonbreaking wave induced mixing has been widely accepted

- The knowledge of upper ocean mixing processes is of fundamental importance for ocean circulation models.
- Wave breaking and wave-induced Langmuir circulation processes enhance turbulence and lead to mixing.
- Recently, more attentions have been paid to nonbreaking wave induced ocean mixing.
- Non-breaking wave induced ocean mixing has related theoretical basis (e.g., Babanin 2006: Wave Reynolds Number).
- Considering wave-induced mixing can also improve the performance of ocean models and climate models (e.g., Qiao et al. 2004, Qiao et al. 2008, Chen et al. 2018).





Introduction But not much field observational evidence can be found

- However, it seems not much observational evidence can be found on non-breaking wave induced mixing/turbulence.
- In a water tank, sidewalls, bottom, and sometimes even the (contact) sensors, can lead to turbulence, impacting the mixing condition.
- In field experiments, it is difficult to isolate the mixing induced by different factors (including the introduction of contact sensors).



Evolution of the water temperature profile with and without nonbreaking waves (H=3cm/L=75cm) in a water tank (Dai et al. 2010)

Introduction Cool skin & diurnal warming as indicator for surface mixing?



- Cool skin & diurnal warming are strongly impacted by surface mixing
- Using cool skin & diurnal warming to study whether swells/nonbreaking waves contribute to surface mixing?



Evolution of the water temperature profile with and without nonbreaking waves (H=3cm/L=75cm) in a water tank (Dai et al. 2010)

Introduction Separating the contribution of swells from other factors

- However, cool skin & diurnal warming are impacted by many factors (e.g., solar radiation, latent/sensible heat flux, wind speed, etc...)
- How to see whether one factor has contribution?
- > Establishing a machine learning model of cool skin / diurnal warming.
- If a SWH is important for the prediction of cool skin / diurnal warming, adding SWH to the input term of the model will improve the model performance.
- Vice versa, if adding SWH to the input term of the model cannot improve the model performance, SWH is not important for the prediction of cool skin / diurnal warming, thus, not contributes significantly to surface mixing.



Data & Method Cool skin data

- Integrated Marine Observing System (IMOS) R/V
- Skin Temperature (SSTskin) from infrared radiometer ISAR-5D
- SSTdepth from SeaBird SBE 38 temperature sensor (~7 m depth)
- 10-m wind speed (U10) & Relative Humidity (RH) & LongWave radiation (LW) from weather station
- Quality controlled using Zhang et al. (2018)

ΔSST = SSTskin - SSTdepth

- Only nighttime data (sun zenith angle>110°) was used to avoid the impact of diurnal warming
- ≻ ERA5 data (0.5deg)
- Significant Wave Height (SWH)



Ship route and corresponding SSTdepth

ISAR on the ship

Data & Method Diurnal warming data



Locations of TOGA buoys

- Tropical Ocean Global Atmosphere (TOGA) buoys
- **SST** from temperature sensors
- 10-m wind speed (U10) & ShortWave radiation (SW) from weather station
- > Geostationary satellite Himawari-9 remote sensing data (L3 version 2.1)
- **SST:** $1-h \times 0.02^{\circ} \rightarrow 1-h \times 0.2^{\circ}$ (reducing random noise)
- ≻ERA5 data (0.5deg)
- SWH U10 SW

DW= SST(13:00~15:00) - SST (1:00~5:00)





An example of Himawari-9 SST on 15 June 2023

Data & Method Machine Learning Models

- Two types of models (tree model: XGBoost / neural network: ANN) were used to predict cool skin & diurnal warming. [No significant difference between them]
- ≻ 50% training / 50% validation
- Previous studies have shown that cool skin is dependent on U10, RH, & LW,
 - and diurnal warming is dependent on U10 & SW
- U10 is related to breaking waves so we further test if introduce SWH can help the prediction.
- Low wind high SWH: Strong swells
- Low wind low SWH: Weak swells





NO→Swells not contribute to mixing

Results

Model Evaluation —— Cool Skin



> Adding SWH seems not to be helpful for the prediction of cool skin.

- > This dataset cannot support the contribution of swells in surface mixing.
- Data coverage is short, the inter-dependence between different parameters might be too strong. [Even removing U10 slightly (but significantly) impacts the prediction]
- > Maybe also because the formation of cool skin is too fast? (within seconds)

Results

Model Evaluation — Diurnal Warming



> Adding SWH also seems not to be helpful for the prediction of diurnal warming.

- > Again, the result cannot support the contribution of swells in surface mixing.
- Different locations and long span, almost no over-fitting issue [Removing U10 largely impacts the prediction]

Results

Model Evaluation — Diurnal Warming



> The satellite data shows a similar result.

- Data-driven models were trained to predict the magnitude of cool skin and diurnal warming.
- We tried to used U10 and U10+SWH as the input of the model during model training.
- >Adding SWH as input is not helpful for a better prediction.
- Does it mean swells do not contribute to surface mixing?
 Maybe it is because something is wrong with my understanding to this issue but I failed to realize.

Criticism is welcome!





0.5 hour for 1-yr (0.5 deg 3h) modelling on a PC